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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=298 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.004 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.033$
$w R$ factor $=0.104$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=13.2$

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

## Bis[2-(phenethyliminomethyl)phenolato- $\left.\kappa^{2} N, O\right]-$ copper(II)

The title compound, $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}\right)_{2}\right]$, adopts a stepped conformation and displays a square-planar trans- $\left[\mathrm{CuN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ coordination geometry. The asymmetric unit contains two independent half molecules and each Cu atom is located on a center of symmetry. For one molecule, the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distances are 2.013 (2) and 1.893 (2) $\AA$, respectively, while for the other molecule, these distances are 2.008 (2) and 1.896 (2) $\AA$. The extended conformation of the phenethylimine pendant groups results in crystal packing formed by weakly aggregated planar molecules.

## Comment

Schiff base complexes have been widely investigated in the field of coordination chemistry (Yamada, 1999). For instance, interest has focused on catalysts for $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}$ (Akitsu et al., 2004), thermally induced structural phase transition for $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ (Akitsu \& Einaga, 2004), and so on. Planar-tetrahedral distortion isomerism on temperature change can potentially be applied for supramolecular switching materials of co-operating crystalline systems. However, the correlation between steric factors of ligands and molecular or crystal structures has been unclear. Hence, systematic studies on various substituents of ligands is necessary. For example, the 1-phenylethylamine moiety is employed for chiral homogeneous catalysts (Li et al., 1987), while the 2-phenylethylamine moiety is employed not only in Werner-type complexes but also in organometallic complexes such as $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complexes (Albert et al., 1990; Lopez et al., 1992; Bosque et al., 1994) We report here the result of the crystal structure analysis of the title compound, (I), having a 2 phenylethylamine moiety.

(I)

There are two half molecules in the asymmetric unit of (I), generating molecule $1(\mathrm{Cu} 1$ complex) and molecule $2(\mathrm{Cu} 2$ complex). Each Cu atom is located on a center of symmetry. Each complex of (I) affords a square-planar trans-[CuN $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ]
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Figure 1
The molecular structure of (I) (molecule 1), showing the atom-labeling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. Atoms marked with an asterisk (*) are at the symmetry-generated position ( $-x,-y,-z$ ).
coordination geometry (Table 1) and adopts a stepped conformation. For molecule 1 (Fig. 1), the $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ and $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ bond distances are $1.893(2)$ and $2.013(2) \AA$, respectively. The cis $-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ and cis $-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ bond angles are $90.68(7)$ and $89.32(7)^{\circ}$, respectively [symmetry code: (i) $-x,-y,-z$ ]. On the other hand, for molecule 2 (Fig. 2), the $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{O} 2$ and $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{N} 2$ bond distances are 1.896 (2) and 2.008 (2) $\AA$, respectively. The cis- $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 2-$ N 2 and cis- $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{N} 2{ }^{\mathrm{ii}}$ bond angles are 90.66 (7) and $89.34(7)^{\circ}$, respectively [symmetry code: (ii) $-x, 1-y, 1-z$ ].

The bond lengths and angles around the imine groups are $\mathrm{N} 1=\mathrm{C} 7=1.283(3) \AA, \mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 7=1.441$ (3) $\AA$ and $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 7-$ $\mathrm{C} 1=126.7(2)^{\circ}$ for molecule 1, and $\mathrm{N} 2=\mathrm{C} 22=1.286(2) \AA$, $\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 22=1.442$ (3) $\AA$ and $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{C} 16=126.6$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ for molecule 2. Because of the typical square-planar environment, these values are comparable to those of related Schiff base copper(II) complexes (Nepveu et al., 1983; Kirchner et al., 1973).

In contrast to a 1-phenylethylamine complex ( Li et al., 1987), bulky phenyl groups are on the outside of the molecule, reducing steric repulsion with no remarkable interactions. Indeed, the torsion angles of the 2-phenylethylamine moiety


Figure 2
The molecular structure of (I) (molecule 2), showing the atom-labeling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. Atoms marked with an asterisk (*) are at the symmetry-generated position $(-x, 1-y, 1-z)$.
are $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10=171.6(2)^{\circ}$ for molecule 1 and $\mathrm{N} 2-$ $\mathrm{C} 23-\mathrm{C} 24-\mathrm{C} 25=-171.7$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ for molecule 2.

There are no significant intermolecular hydrogen bonds or other interactions within van der Waals radii (Bondi, 1964) in the crystal structure of (I) (Fig. 3). Hence, crystal packing is formed by weak van der Waals forces. Despite five-coordination, the chlorobis( $N$-2-phenylethylsalicylideniminato)iron(III) complex (Magurany \& Strouse, 1982) adopts a similar conformation and takes a similar overall molecular shape. On the other hand, bis(N-2-phenyethylsalicylideniminato)nickel(II) (Ravikumar \& Rajan, 1986) adopts a more bent shape and exhibits different crystal-packing features.

Furthermore, complex (I) also exhibits a phase transition, which is confirmed by an endothermal peak of the DSC curve at 458 K . As with analogous $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complexes (Yamada, 1999), this structural phase transition produced by heating leads to a distorted tetrahedral coordination environment.

## Experimental

The reaction of copper(II) acetate $(0.91 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol})$, salicylaldehyde $(1.22 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2-phenylethylamine $(1.21 \mathrm{~g}$, $10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 100 ml ) at 318 K for 3 h gave a brown compound. Plate-shaped crystals were obtained by the slow evaporation of an acetone solution at 278 K ; the yield was about $20 \%$.

Analysis found: C 70.31, H 5.49, N 5.43\%; calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{CuN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C 70.36 , H 5.51 , N $5.47 \%$. IR (KBr): $1619 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (imine band); m.p. 598 K (decomposition).

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}\right)_{2}\right]$
$M_{r}=512.09$
Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
$a=11.943$ (4) £
$b=11.944$ (5) $\AA$
$c=9.102(3) \AA$
$\alpha=89.81$ (3) ${ }^{\circ}$
$\beta=91.89$ (3) ${ }^{\circ}$
$\gamma=75.43(3)^{\circ}$
$V=1255.9(8) \AA^{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z=2 \\
& D_{x}=1.354 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
& \text { Mo } K \alpha \text { radiation }
\end{aligned}
$$

Cell parameters from 25
reflections
$\theta=11.0-12.5^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.90 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=298$ (1) K
Plate, brown
$0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.15 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Rigaku AFC-7R diffractometer
$\omega-2 \theta$ scans
Absorption correction: $\psi$ scan
(North et al., 1968)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.835, T_{\text {max }}=0.874$
6444 measured reflections
5773 independent reflections
4237 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\text {int }}=0.028 \\
& \theta_{\max }=27.5^{\circ} \\
& h=-15 \rightarrow 15 \\
& k=-15 \rightarrow 15 \\
& l=-11 \rightarrow 4 \\
& 3 \text { standard reflections } \\
& \quad \text { every } 150 \text { reflections } \\
& \quad \text { intensity decay: } 0.9 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 / {\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0553 P)^{2}\right.} \\
&+0.2726 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
&(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.41 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.46 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $1.893(2)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $1.896(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $2.013(2)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $2.008(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $90.68(7)$ | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $90.66(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $89.32(7)$ | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 2-\mathrm{N} 2^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $89.34(7)$ |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x,-y,-z$; (ii) $-x, 1-y, 1-z$.
H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.95 \AA$, and included in the final cycles of refinement using a riding model, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}$ (parent atom).

Data collection: WinAFC Diffractometer Control Software (Rigaku, 1999); cell refinement: WinAFC Diffractometer Control Software; data reduction: TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 2001); program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: TEXSAN.

This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for the 21st Century COE program 'KEIO Life Conjugate Chemistry'
Figure 3


A molecular packing diagram of (I), viewed down the crystallographic $c$ axis. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.
from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan.
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